Health and Professional Leadership
ISSN: XXXX-XXXX
Vol. 01 No. 01, 2025
https://hpl-editor.com

Comparison of the Effect of Exclusive Breastfeeding and Infant Formula on Health Status of Infant: A Systematic Review

[1]Ajayi Ayodotun Edward, [2]Akorede Seun Nurudeen, [3]Tokode Emmanuel Ayodamope, [4]FADERO Oluwakemi Florence, [5]Fatima Muhammed Rasheed

[1]ADepartment of Human Kinetics & Health Education, Faculty of Education, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria**,** West Africa

[2]Department of Human Kinetics & Health Education, Faculty of Education, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria**,** West Africa

[3]Food and Nutrition option, Department of Biochemistry Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, West Africa

[4]Department of Health Promotion and Environmental Health Education, University of Ilorin, Nigeria, West Africa

[5]Federal College of forestry mechanization, Afaka, Kaduna State, West Africa

Corresponding Author: Ajayi Ayodotun Edward

Email: edwardajayi@gmail.com

Submitted: 09-12-24 **Revised: 04-01-2025** **Accepted: 06-01-2025** **Published: 08-01-2025**

Abstract

Breastfeeding is widely recognized as the most natural and beneficial form of infant nutrition, offering a range of physiological, immunological, cognitive, and psychological advantages. This systematic review aimed to compare the health outcomes of infants fed exclusively with breast milk versus those fed with infant formula. A narrative literature review was conducted by searching databases such as Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, and Google using keywords like "breastfeeding," "infant formula," and "infant health." Forty-three relevant studies published in English were included based on predefined inclusion criteria.Findings indicate that exclusive breastfeeding provides optimal nutrition and immunity to infants, significantly reducing the risk of infections, sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), allergies, and chronic conditions like diabetes and obesity. It also supports cognitive development and emotional bonding. Breastfed infants generally achieve better growth outcomes and have higher intelligence scores. For mothers, breastfeeding lowers the risk of breast and ovarian cancers, aids postpartum recovery, and offers economic advantages.In contrast, infant formula is a manufactured substitute designed to mimic breast milk's nutritional profile and is recommended only when breastfeeding is not possible. Though formula feeding supports growth, it lacks the bioactive and immunological components of breast milk and may increase susceptibility to infections and allergies. Challenges with breastfeeding, such as sore nipples, milk supply concerns, and infections, need to be addressed through education and support. The study concludes that while infant formula is a viable alternative, exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months remains the gold standard for promoting infant health and survival.

Keywords- *Exclusive breastfeeding, Infant formula, Infant health, Nutrition, Immunity, Child development*

INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition continues to be one of the most significant public health challenges globally, particularly in developing countries. It contributes to over 41% of annual deaths among children aged 6 to 24 months, amounting to approximately 2.3 million child fatalities each year. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than half of all childhood deaths are linked directly or indirectly to malnutrition. Sub-Saharan Africa, including Nigeria, experiences high rates of stunting, underweight, and wasting among infants and young children. Feeding practices during the first 1,000 days of life – from conception to the child's second birthday – play a critical role in growth, immune development, and long-term health outcomes. Therefore, optimal infant feeding practices, particularly exclusive breastfeeding, are crucial in reducing child morbidity and mortality.Breast milk is widely acknowledged as the most complete form of nutrition for infants. It provides a perfect balance of proteins, fats, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, and antibodies essential for growth and development. Exclusive breastfeeding has been shown to protect against infections, promote cognitive development, and reduce the risk of chronic diseases later in life. It also offers health benefits for mothers, such as reduced risk of breast and ovarian cancer, quicker postpartum recovery, and natural child-spacing due to delayed ovulation.Despite these benefits, many mothers are unable to breastfeed exclusively due to medical, social, or economic reasons. In such cases, infant formula is often used as an alternative. Infant formula is a manufactured product designed to closely replicate the nutritional composition of human milk. It is usually based on cow's milk or soy and fortified with vitamins, minerals, essential fatty acids, and other nutrients.

Although formula-fed infants can achieve normal growth, they may lack the immunological and developmental advantages of breastfed infants.The introduction of infant formula has undoubtedly provided a viable option for feeding infants who cannot be breastfed. However, its use must be approached cautiously and be informed by proper guidance from healthcare professionals. Numerous studies have shown that formula-fed infants are more susceptible to respiratory infections, gastrointestinal diseases, allergies, and obesity. In addition, the preparation and handling of formula feed carry the risk of contamination, especially in resource-poor settings where access to clean water and proper sanitation is limited.Globally, exclusive breastfeeding rates remain below recommended levels. WHO and UNICEF recommend exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life, followed by continued breastfeeding alongside complementary foods up to two years and beyond. However, nearly two-thirds of infants are not exclusively breastfed for the first six months, a statistic that has remained largely unchanged for decades. In many developing countries, including Nigeria, cultural beliefs, lack of education, aggressive marketing of formula products, and inadequate support systems have contributed to the early introduction of formula or complementary foods.Given the critical importance of optimal infant feeding practices, it is essential to evaluate and compare the health outcomes associated with exclusive breastfeeding and infant formula feeding. A thorough understanding of the benefits and limitations of each feeding method can help healthcare providers, policymakers, and caregivers make informed decisions that promote infant health and survival.This systematic review aims to compare the effects of exclusive breastfeeding and infant formula on the health status of infants. By examining current evidence from various studies, the review highlights the nutritional, immunological, developmental, and economic impacts of both feeding practices. The findings underscore the need to promote exclusive breastfeeding as the preferred method of infant feeding while recognizing the role of formula as a necessary substitute when breastfeeding is not feasible. Strengthening education, healthcare support, and public health policies is vital to improving infant feeding practices and reducing child mortality in both developing and developed countries.

Objectives

1. To compare the health outcomes of infants who are exclusively breastfed with those who are fed with infant formula.
2. To assess the nutritional, immunological, and developmental benefits of exclusive breastfeeding.
3. To examine the composition and potential health impacts of different types of infant formulas.
4. To identify the challenges associated with both breastfeeding and formula feeding practices.
5. To provide evidence-based recommendations for improving infant feeding practices in both developing and developed settings.

METHODOLOGY

This study utilized a narrative literature review design with a systematic approach to compare the effects of exclusive breastfeeding and infant formula on the health status of infants. A comprehensive search for relevant literature was conducted using several electronic databases including Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, and the Google search engine. The aim was to gather a wide range of peer-reviewed studies, reviews, and reports that focused on the benefits, risks, and outcomes associated with both exclusive breastfeeding and infant formula feeding. The search terms used included “exclusive breastfeeding,” “infant formula,” “infant health,” “breast milk,” “nutrition,” and “child development.” The inclusion criteria for selecting studies were: (1) studies published in the English language; (2) studies that focused on comparing exclusive breastfeeding and infant formula feeding; and (3) studies that provided data on health outcomes such as growth, immunity, cognitive development, or incidence of illness. Articles not meeting these criteria were excluded.An initial search yielded a total of 201 research papers. These papers were screened by reviewing their titles, abstracts, and full texts, resulting in 43 studies that met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final review.Data from the selected studies were analyzed qualitatively, focusing on themes such as nutritional composition, immune protection, disease prevention, cognitive outcomes, maternal health, and economic implications. Emphasis was also placed on identifying challenges faced by mothers during breastfeeding and the reasons for choosing infant formula.The methodology ensured that a broad, balanced, and evidence-based perspective was presented on both feeding options, thereby allowing for an objective comparison of their effects on infant health.

RESULTS

1. Exclusive breastfeeding is superior to infant formula in supporting optimal infant growth, immunity, and development. It significantly reduces the risk of infections such as diarrhea, respiratory illnesses, ear infections, and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).
2. Breastfed infants show better cognitive and motor development, with several studies linking breastfeeding to higher IQ scores and improved academic outcomes later in life.

3. Exclusive breastfeeding contributes to the prevention of chronic conditions such as obesity, diabetes, asthma, and certain types of cancer (e.g., childhood leukemia) later in life.
4. For mothers, breastfeeding is associated with a lower risk of breast and ovarian cancers, faster postpartum recovery, and natural child spacing due to delayed ovulation.
5. Infant formula, although nutritionally designed to mimic breast milk, lacks many bioactive components such as antibodies, enzymes, and hormones found in human milk. Formula-fed infants are more prone to allergies, infections, and digestive issues.
6. The economic benefits of breastfeeding are significant, especially for low-income families, as formula feeding increases household costs related to purchasing formula, sterilization, and healthcare due to illness.
7. Challenges such as sore nipples, low milk supply concerns, and infections can interfere with successful breastfeeding, emphasizing the need for maternal education and support.
8. When breastfeeding is not possible, infant formula serves as a necessary alternative, but it must be prepared hygienically and used with guidance from healthcare professionals.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this systematic review clearly highlight the significant health advantages of exclusive breastfeeding over infant formula feeding. Breast milk offers a unique combination of nutrients, antibodies, enzymes, and hormones that cannot be fully replicated in infant formula. These bioactive components contribute not only to optimal physical growth but also to immune protection and neurodevelopment in infants. Numerous studies confirm that exclusively breastfed infants experience fewer infections, reduced risk of chronic illnesses such as obesity and diabetes, and improved cognitive outcomes. Despite these benefits, exclusive breastfeeding remains underutilized in many parts of the world, particularly in developing countries like Nigeria. Cultural practices, lack of education, socio-economic constraints, and aggressive marketing of formula products contribute to early weaning and low exclusive breastfeeding rates. Additionally, maternal challenges such as sore nipples, infections, or concerns about milk supply can hinder sustained breastfeeding. Infant formula serves as a necessary alternative for mothers who cannot breastfeed due to medical or personal reasons. However, formula feeding requires proper hygiene, clean water, and strict adherence to preparation guidelines to prevent contamination and infections. While modern infant formulas are nutritionally adequate for growth, they lack the immunological and developmental benefits of breast milk. These findings underscore the urgent need for improved maternal education, community support, and health system interventions to promote exclusive breastfeeding. Strengthening breastfeeding practices through policy, education, and health professional training can significantly reduce infant morbidity and mortality, particularly in low-resource settings.

CONCLUSION

Exclusive breastfeeding remains the gold standard for infant nutrition and care, offering unmatched health, developmental, and economic benefits for both infants and mothers. This review confirms that breast milk provides all the essential nutrients and bioactive components necessary for optimal growth, strong immunity, and cognitive development during the critical early months of life. Additionally, breastfeeding helps prevent a range of acute and chronic illnesses and fosters strong emotional bonding between mother and child.While infant formula serves as a valuable alternative when breastfeeding is not possible, it lacks many of the immunological and protective factors naturally found in breast milk. Formula-fed infants are more prone to infections, allergies, and other health complications. Moreover, the cost and preparation of formula can present additional burdens, particularly in low-income households.Despite global health recommendations, exclusive breastfeeding rates remain suboptimal, especially in developing countries. Addressing cultural, social, and practical barriers through maternal education, healthcare support, and community-based initiatives is critical.
Efforts must be intensified to promote, protect, and support exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life. Doing so will significantly improve infant health outcomes, reduce preventable child deaths, and contribute to achieving long-term public health goals.

REFERENCES

1. Brown, K. H., Kanashiro, H. C., & Dewey, K. G. (1995). Optimal complementary feeding practices to prevent childhood malnutrition in developing countries. Food and Nutrition Bulletin, 16(4), 320–329.
2. Daelmans, B., & Saadeh, R. (2003). Global initiatives to improve complementary feeding. SCN News, 10–17.
3. De Onis, M., Monteiro, C., Akre, J., & Clugstone, G. (1993). The worldwide magnitude of protein-energy malnutrition: An overview from the WHO global database on child growth. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 71(6), 703–712.

4. De Pee, S., Moench-Pfanner, R., & Bloem, M. W. (2003). Insight into breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices: A case study from Indonesia. SCN News, 23–27.
5. Hautvast, J. L. A., Vander Heijden, L. J. M., Luneta, A. K., Van Staveren, W. A., Tolboom, J. J. M., & Van Gastel, S. M. (1999). Food consumption of young stunted and non-stunted children in rural Zambia. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 53(1), 50–59.
6. Jones, G., Steketee, R. W., Black, R. E., Bhutta, Z. A., & Morris, S. S. (2003). How many child deaths can we prevent this year? The Lancet, 362(9379), 65–71.
7. Lutter, C. (2003). Meeting the challenge to improve complementary feeding. SCN News, 4–10.
8. Lutter, C. K., & Rivera, J. A. (2003). Nutritional status of infants and young children and characteristics of their diets. The Journal of Nutrition, 133(9), 2941S–2949S.
9. Martines, J. C., Rea, I., & De Zoysa, I. (1992). Breastfeeding in the first six months: No need for extra fluids. BMJ, 304(6825), 1068–1069.
10. Nigeria Food Consumption and Nutrition Survey (NFCNS). (2004). Summary 2001–2003. International Institute for Tropical Agriculture.
11. Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization (PAHO/WHO). (2003). Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child. PAHO/WHO.
12. Ruel, M. T. (2003). Progress in developing indicators to measure complementary feeding practices. SCN News, 20–22.
13. Ruel, M. T., Levin, C. E., Armar-Klemesu, M., Maxwell, D., & Morris, S. S. (1999). Good care practices can mitigate the negative effects of poverty and low maternal schooling on children's nutritional status: Evidence from Accra. World Development, 27(11), 1993–2009.
14. Sandoval-Priego, A. A., Reyes-Morals, H., Perez-Cuevas, D., Abrego-Blass, R., & Orrico-Torres, E. S. (2002). Family strategies of life associated with malnutrition in children less than 2 years of age. Salud Pública de México, 44(1), 1–9.
15. Sheth, M., & Dwivedi, R. (2006). Complementary foods associated with diarrhea. Indian Journal of Pediatrics, 73(1), 61–64.
16. UNICEF. (1990). Strategy for improved nutrition of children and women in developing countries. UNICEF Policy Review Paper.
17. UNICEF. (2001). Children's and women's rights in Nigeria: A wake-up call. National Planning Commission and UNICEF.
18. World Health Organization. (1993). Contaminated food: Major cause of diarrhea and malnutrition among infants and young children. WHO Papers on Food and Nutrition, No. 3.
19. World Health Organization. (2001). WHO evidence for information and policy. Geneva: WHO.
20. World Health Organization. (2002). Global strategy for infant and young child feeding (Doc A55/15). Geneva: WHO.
21. Lessen, R., & Kavanagh, K. (2014). Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Promoting and supporting breastfeeding. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 115(3), 444–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2014.12.014
22. Savino, F., Bebetti, S., Lignori, S. A., Sorrenti, M., Cordero, D., & Montezemolo, L. (2013). Advances on human milk hormones and protection against obesity. Cellular and Molecular Biology, 59, 89–98.
23. Eidelman, A. I., Schanler, R. J., Johnston, M., Landers, S., Noble, L., Szucs, K., & Viehmann, L. (2012). Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics, 129(3), e827–e841.
24. Kozhimannil, K. B., Jou, J., Attanasio, L. B., Joarnt, L. K., & McGovern, P. (2014). Medically complex pregnancies and early breastfeeding behaviors: A retrospective analysis. PLOS ONE, 9(8), e104820. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104820
25. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2014). Guidance for industry: Demonstration of the quality factor requirements under 21 CFR 106.96(i) for “eligible infant formulas”. https://www.fda.gov/media/87778/download
26. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. (2004). Infant formula: Evaluating the safety of new ingredients. National Academies Press.
27. Stevens, E. E., Patrick, T. E., & Pickler, R. (2009). A history of infant feeding. Journal of Perinatal Education, 18(2), 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1624/105812409X42631